

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,419	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ernest G. Therkorn	1797	

All Participants:

(1) Ernest G. Therkorn.

(2) James J. Kelly.

Date of Interview: 21 January 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

None

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner proposed submitting a terminal disclaimer and cancelling the non-elected invention to place the case in condition for allowance. James J. Kelly then indicated that he would submit a terminal disclaimer and authorized cancellation of the non-elected inventions.